

ECE 6270, Spring 2026

Homework #3

Due Monday, March 2, at 11:59pm Suggested Reading: B&V, Sections 9.2 (again) and 9.5.

1. Prepare a one paragraph summary of what we talked about since the last assignment. I do not want just a bulleted list of topics, I want you to use complete sentences and establish context (Why is what we have learned relevant? How does it connect with other classes?). The more insight you give, the better.

2. **1D optimization.** Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 25x^2 & x < 1 \\ x^2 + 48x - 24 & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 25x^2 - 48x + 72 & x > 2 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Prove that f is strongly convex with parameter $m = 2$ and is M -smooth with $M = 50$.
 - (b) What is the global minimizer of f ? Justify your answer.
 - (c) Run the heavy ball method with $\alpha_k = 1/18$ and $\beta_k = 4/9$ (the parameters suggested by the analysis in class), and Nesterov's method with $\alpha_k = 1/50$ and $\beta_k = (k - 1)/(k + 2)$. In both cases initialize at $x_0 = 3.2$. Plot $f(x_k) - f(x^*)$ as a function of k for each method. You should notice a difference between the methods. You do not have to report the results, but you may also want to experiment with other values for x_0 .
3. **Proximal minimization.** Let f be a convex function that is M -smooth but *not* strongly convex. In this problem we will show that we can always form a strongly convex perturbation of f that will be close to f . In particular, suppose that we have an estimate \mathbf{x}_0 that we think is in the rough neighborhood of \mathbf{x}^* (e.g., if we have an upper bound on $\|\mathbf{x}^*\|_2$, then $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ is a possible choice). Consider the function

$$f_\delta(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\delta}{2}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

- (a) Let \mathbf{x}_δ^* denote a minimizer of f_δ . Is \mathbf{x}_δ^* unique?
- (b) Argue that if \mathbf{x}_0 is a minimizer of f , then $\mathbf{x}_\delta^* = \mathbf{x}_0$.
- (c) Prove that $f(\mathbf{x}_\delta^*) - f(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2$. Thus, if δ and/or \mathbf{x}_0 are chosen appropriately, \mathbf{x}_δ^* does almost as good of a job at minimizing f as \mathbf{x}^* .
- (d) The modified function f_δ is now both M_δ -smooth as well as strongly convex (with parameter m_δ). Determine the parameters M_δ and m_δ .

4. **Socially distanced robots.** In class we saw that a way of making a swarm of robots meet at the same location was to have the robots move in the steepest descent direction to the cost

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}_n} \|\mathbf{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}^{(m)}\|_2^2$$

where $\mathbf{p}^{(n)}$ the position of robot n and \mathcal{N}_n is the set containing the indices of all of robot n 's neighbors. If we assume that the neighborhood relationship is symmetric, i.e., $m \in \mathcal{N}_n \Leftrightarrow n \in \mathcal{N}_m$ we showed that the steepest descent update law becomes

$$\mathbf{p}_{k+1}^{(n)} = \mathbf{p}_k^{(n)} - \alpha_k \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}_n} (\mathbf{p}_k^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}_k^{(m)}),$$

where $\mathbf{p}_k^{(n)}$ is the position of robot n at time step k , and $\alpha_k > 0$ is the step size at time k .

- (a) If the robots are socially distant, however, they should not try to meet at the same location, but end up a distance $\Delta > 0$ away from each other, which can be encoded through the cost

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}_n} \left(\|\mathbf{p}^{(n)} - \mathbf{p}^{(m)}\|_2^2 - \Delta^2 \right)^2.$$

What is the corresponding so-called formation controller that results from a gradient descent step on this new objective function?

- (b) Implement this formation controller. Initialize your problem using

```
import numpy as np
np.random.seed(6270)
p = np.random.uniform(0, 50, [2, 10])
```

In the above, \mathbf{p} is a 2×10 array that represents the initial locations of 10 robots that have been placed at random in a 50×50 square. In your controller, use a fixed step size (you will need to tune this to ensure convergence) and set $\Delta = 6$. Note that your initialization begins with some robots that are not respecting social distancing! Watch what happens to those robots over the first few iterations. Turn in a plot of the configuration that your algorithm converges to.

- (c) Now consider the formation controller that would arise by using Nesterov's method to minimize this objective function. Write down the update rule. Next implement this controller on the same scenario as in the previous part. Again, use a fixed step size for α_k . Set $\beta_k = (k - 1)/(k + 2)$. Compare the results to what you obtained using simple gradient descent.
- (d) We could also derive a controller for this problem using Newton's method. Comment on the benefits and drawbacks of using Newton's method in this context.

- (c) Next implement a solver using Nesterov's method. Try both using a fixed stepsize of $\alpha \approx 0.001$ as well as backtracking. Use the rule of thumb $\beta_k = (k-1)/(k+2)$. Report how many iterations are required for both versions.
- (d) Next implement a solver using Newton's method setting α using backtracking (be sure to start with $\bar{\alpha} = 1$). Report the number of Newton steps required.
- (e) Finally, implement a solver using the BFGS method, again setting α using backtracking with $\bar{\alpha} = 1$. Report the number of quasi-Newton steps required.